

## **REMARKS**

Claims 1 - 20 are pending in the application. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-11 and 14-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Publication No. 2004/0006690 issued to Du, et al. (Du). Claims 3, 8, 12 and 13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Du. Applicants have amended Claim 1. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and full allowance of all pending claims.

Du discloses an information handling system having a first operating system for a normal mode and a second operating system for an entertainment mode.

Claim 1 as amended recites, in part, “an operating system operable to coordinate operation of the processing components in a normal mode or a multimedia mode” and “the multimedia module operable to boot the processing components and operating system to the multimedia mode upon selection through the multimedia mode selector.”

Claim 10 recites, in part, “modifying boot of the information handling system operating system to enable multimedia devices and disable non-multimedia devices.”

Claim 18 recites, in part, “a multimedia module interfaced with the multimedia selector and operable to boot the operating system to a multimedia mode of operation having selected devices enabled and selected devices disabled”

Du cannot anticipate Claims 1, 10 and 18 because Du fails to teach, disclose or suggest all elements recited by Claims 1, 10 and 18. For example, Du fails to teach, disclose or suggest using a common operating system in normal and multimedia modes. Indeed, the use of multiple operating systems such as Du discloses is set forth in Applicant’s background at page 2, line 30. Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the rejections of Claims 1, 10 and 18 and allow all pending claims.

### **CONCLUSION**

In view of the amendments and remarks set forth herein, the application is believed to be in condition for allowance and a notice to that effect is solicited. Nonetheless, should any issues remain that might be subject to resolution through a telephonic interview, the examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically submitted to the COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS via EFS on November 6, 2006.

*/Robert W. Holland/*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Applicant(s)

Respectfully submitted,

*/Robert W. Holland/*

Robert W. Holland  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Reg. No. 40,020